UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MEDICE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

JAMES S. BERNAT,

CIVIL ACTION NO.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

'S, DISTRICT CAUTT ISTRICT OF MARS

٧.

GENTEX OPTICS,

04-40058

APRIL 23, 2004

COMPLAINT

Nature of the Complaint

Plaintiff, James Bernat ("Bernat"), brings this action against defendant Gentex Optics ("Gentex"). Bernat's action is for violation of the Family and Medical Leave Act. 29 U.S.C. §2601, et seq. ("FMLA").

This Complaint alleges that Gentex violated FMLA §105 (29 U.S.C. §2615) by negligently, recklessly, or intentionally interfering with Bernat's FMLA rights by preventing him from returning to work after his FMLA leave.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. The Court has personal and subject matter jurisdiction over this case pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 29 U.S.C. §2617(a)(2), without regard to jurisdictional amount or diversity of citizenship.
- 2. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391 in that defendant may be found in this district and the violations took place in this district.

RECEIPT #_____ AMOUNT & SUMMONS ISSUED LOCAL RULE 4.1_ WAIVER FORM_ MCF ISSUED BY DPTY, CLK.

The Parties

- 3. Bernat resides in Woodstock, Connecticut. Bernat has standing to bring this action under 29 U.S.C. §2617(a)(2).
- Gentex is a for-profit corporation which does business in Dudley,
 Massachusetts.

Statement of Facts

- 5. Bernat was employed at Gentex for approximately seven years, the last three of which he worked as a Process Coach, supervising about 25 people.
- 6. Bernat had been a model employee throughout his tenure at Gentex, and had excellent written evaluations.
- 7. Bernat suffers from a medical condition, bi-polar disorder, which is caused, at least in part, by low levels of serotonin, a neurotransmitter which affects mood and emotion.
- 8. Bernat's bi-polar disorder symptoms first surfaced in 2003 following a separation from his wife. Bernat's disposition changed significantly. He became depressed and irritable under circumstances where others would not become irritable. On October 30, 2003, during a Business Unit meeting, Bernat criticized a Human Resource employee's suggestion that the employees in his department be photographed wearing Halloween costumes. Bernat believed that this was an unnecessary disturbance in his department's busy work schedule, and he expressed this belief by saying, in an abrupt and irritated tone, "We don't have time for this shit." Bernat's immediate supervisor, Business Unit #2 Manager Brett Foley ("Foley"), asked him to leave the meeting, and he did without incident.

- 9. The change in Bernat's disposition, as evidenced by his behavior at the October 30, 2003 meeting, was significant enough to cause Foley to recommend that Bernat seek counseling through Gentex's Employee Assistance Program. Bernat agreed. Bernat realized he had a problem he needed to address.
- 10. On November 4, 2003, Bernat began an approved leave under FMLA, and stayed out on leave for three weeks. During his leave, as recommended by his superiors, Bernat sought counseling and was prescribed the antidepressant Paxil to help treat his condition. (Bernat continues to attend counseling and take Paxil).
- 11. When he returned from his FMLA leave on November 25, 2003, he felt more stable, and began performing his job as well as he had prior to the escalation of his bipolar disorder. He looked forward to resuming his duties and for the next three weeks he conducted his work at Gentex without incident.
- 12. Although Bernat attempted to return to work and to his normal routine. Gentex officials implemented a scheme to deprive him of the chance to do so.
- 13. Three weeks after Bernat returned to work following his FMLA leave, on December 17, 2003, at approximately 2:45 p.m., Gentex held, in its indoor break room, a Site Communication Meeting (the "Meeting") which about 40 to 50 employees attended.
- 14. Because he arrived a few minutes after the Meeting started, Bernat could not find a seat, so he stood in the back of the room. During the approximately 45 minute Meeting, Bernat listened quietly to the presentation concerning reorganization of the facility's workforce and spoke to nobody.
- 15. When the meeting ended, Bernat immediately left the break room, returned to the Quality Control ("QC") department where he worked, and went about his day.

3

- 16. At some point in the day on December 17, 2003, after the Meeting, Bernat took a cigarette break in one of Gentex's outdoor smoking areas. During the approximately 10 to 15 minute break, Bernat spoke with co-workers Denise Goding ("Goding") and Jeffrey Lloyd ("Lloyd"). The three talked about fishing, Bernat's boat, and "trucks" (the term for carts used at Gentex to transport material from one area of the facility to another).
- 17. After the conversation in the outside break area, Bernat and Goding returned to the QC unit. During the remainder of the day, Bernat did not visit any of Gentex's several indoor or outdoor break areas.
- 18. On or about December 19, 2003, Foley, the Business Unit #2 Manager, telephoned Bernat's co-worker Lloyd at his department and asked Lloyd to come to his office. Foley asked Lloyd if he heard Bernat "use profanity" in the outside break area after the Meeting.
- 19. Lloyd told Foley that he talked with Bernat in the outside break area at some point after the Meeting, and Bernat did not use any profanity, but that the two simply talked about fishing, Bernat's boat, and the truck carts. Although Foley did not ask Lloyd whether Bernat made any derogatory comments about Gentex in the break area, Lloyd did not hear Bernat make derogatory comments abut Gentex.
- 20. Bernat's coworker, Goding, like Lloyd, did not hear Bernat use profanity or make any derogatory remarks about Gentex.
- 21. On the morning of December 20, 2003, just over three weeks after returning from his FMLA leave, Bernat was setting up his department, getting ready to begin his

4

day. At approximately 7:15 a.m., Foley called Bernat and asked Bernat to come to his office.

22. Upon arriving at Foley's office, Foley told Bernat that he was being terminated effectively immediately, and handed him a Disciplinary Incident Report (the "Report"), already filled out and signed by Foley, which stated:

On 12/17/03, following a site communication meeting, Jim was observed by employees making several derogatory comments about the company in a voluble manner and with profanity in the break area [....] Effectively immediately, employment is terminated at Gentex Optics. (emphasis added).

- 23. Bernat immediately told Foley that the allegations were false, and asked Foley who the employees were who heard Bernat's comments. Foley replied, "a few people," and then corrected himself and said "two people." Bernat asked for the witnesses' names, but Foley would not tell him the names of the alleged witnesses.
- 24. Foley requested that Bernat sign the Report, but, because the Report was false, Bernat refused to sign it.
- 25. A Gentex security officer Bernat then escorted Bernat to the company parking lot. Bernat walked unescorted to his truck and returned to the entrance to drop off soda he bought for the Gentex holiday party planned for later that day. Bernat then went home and has not returned to the Gentex facility since.
- 26. Upon information and belief, Gentex used a false or unreliable story about Bernat's behavior because company officials were determined not to allow him to return to his job after his FMLA leave.

Count One

27. In violation of 29 U.S.C. §2615, by engaging in the conduct set forth in paragraphs 5 through 26 above, Gentex negligently, recklessly, or intentionally interfered with Bernat's FMLA rights by preventing him from returning to work after his FMLA leave.

WHEREFORE, Bernat claims:

- 1. Damages;
- 2. An injunction ordering Gentex to allow him to work under the terms and conditions he would have enjoyed had there been no breach of his rights under 29 U.S.C. §2615;
 - 3. Interest;
 - 4. Attorney's fees under 29 U.S.C. 2617(a)(3); and
 - 5. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just.

Date: April 23, 2004 THE PLAINTIFF: James Bernat

BY:

Mala M. Rafik

BBO No. 638075

Rosenfeld & Rafik, P.C. 44 School Street, Suite 410

Boston, MA 02108 (617) 723-7470

Case 4:04-cv-40058-FDS_V_Document

Page 7 of 8

Filed 04/26/2004 SJS 44 (Rev. 3/99)

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the

| use of the Clerk of Court for | or the purpose of initia | ting the civil docket s | sheet. (SE | 1 | | THE FORM.) | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| I. (a) PLAINTIFFS | | | | DEFENDA | NTS. | OT. | | | |
| JAMES S. BERNAT | | | | GENTEX OPTI | cs | Ŭ <u>C</u> | | | |
| | | | | | 111 TO A 9: | ٠ | | | |
| (b) County of Residence (| | CE O LODO | | | nce of First Listed Defendan | t Worcester | | | |
| (EA | CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIF | r CASES) | | NOTE: IN LA | NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES USE THE LOCATION O | | | | |
| (c) Attorney's (Firm Nam | ne, Address, and Telephor | e Number) | | Attorneys (If Kı | nown) | | | | |
| Mala M. Rafik Rosenfeld & Rafik, | , P.C. | | | $\Delta \Delta$ | -400 | 50 | | | |
| 44 School Street, S Boston, MA 02108 | | | | W 7 | t zvu | | | | |
| (617) 723-7470 | | | | | | man was special and | | | |
| II. BASIS OF JURISD | ICTION (Place an "X" | in One Box Only) | III. CIT | TIZENSHIP OF Diversity Cases Only) | PRINCIPAL PARTIE | ES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainti | | | |
| ☐ I U.S. Government | Y 2 Federal Occasion | _ | | PT | | and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF | | | |
| Plaintiff | X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Govern | Citize | n of This State □ | | or Principal Place | | | | |
| ☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant | ☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citi in Item III) | zenship of Parties | Citize | n of Another State □ | | and Principal Place 5 5 5 In Another State | | | |
| | m tem my | į | | | 1 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation | n 🗆 6 🗆 6 | | | |
| IV. NATURE OF SUI | Γ (Place an "X" in | One Box Only) | For | eign Country | | | | | |
| CONTRACT | Te | ORTS | FORF | EITURE/PENALTY | BANKRUPTCY | OTHER STATUTES | | | |
| 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property | PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting X 442 Employment 442 Housing Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights | PRISONER PETITIC □ 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: □ 530 General □ 535 Death Penalty □ 540 Mandamus & Oth □ 550 Civil Rights □ 555 Prison Condition | 62 62 62 63 64 64 65 66 67 69 69 72 60 73 6 74 6 79 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 6 6 79 79 | 0 Agriculture 0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Scizure of Property 21 USC 0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck 0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational Safety/Health 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt, Relations 0 Labor/Mgmt, Reporting & Disclosure Act 0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act | □864 SSID Title XVI | □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce-ICC Rates/etc. □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fec Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes □ 890 Other Statutory Actions | | | |
| x^{-1} Original \Box^{-2} Rep | moved from 3 p | Remanded from Appellate Court | 4 or Reone | ated 5 another (specify ned) | erred from r district y) \Box 6 Multidis Litigatio | Appeal to District Judge from strict | | | |
| VI. CAUSE OF ACTION The Plaintiff brings this action | Do not cite jurisdiction | ute under which you are fil al statutes unless diversity, entex Optics for viola | ing and write | brief statement of cause. | al Leave act, 29 U.S.C. § 2 | 2601, et. seq. | | | |
| VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: | UNDER F.R.C.P | IS A CLASS ACTION . 23 | N DEM | AND \$ | CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND: | if demanded in complaint: | | | |
| VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY | mstructions): | JUDGE | _ | | DOCKET NUMBER | | | | |
| DATE 4.23.04 | | SIGNATION | ORNEY OF R | CORD | | | | | |
| OR OFFICE USE ONLY | | | - 77 | | | | | | |
| RECEIPT # AM | IOUN | APPLYING IFP | | JUDGE | MAG. JUD | OGE | | | |

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| | | , | | | | . Bernat | v. Oentex | Optics | . | UPFICE |
|------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| CATE | GORY IN | WHICH THE CASE BELC | NGS BASE | D UPON THE NUMBER | ED NA | TURE OF | SUIT CO | DE LISTE | D ON TH | HE CIVIL 2 |
| COVI | ER SHEET. | . (SEE LOCAL RULE 40 | .1(A)(1)). | | | | | lig Dir | TDIO | T Oour s |
| _ | l. | 160, 410, 470, R.23, F | REGARDLE | SS OF NATURE OF SUIT | Γ. | | | LISTRI | OT OF | I COURT MASS. |
| <u>X</u> _ | H. | 195, 368, 400, 440, 44 740, 790, 791, 820*, 8 | l1-444, 540, 30*, 840*, 8 | 550, 555, 625, 710, 720, 50, 890, 892-894, 895, 95 | 730, i0. | *Also for p | complete | te AO 120 | or AO 1 | - |
| _ | III. | 110, 120, 130, 140, 15 315, 320, 330, 340, 34 380, 385, 450, 891. | 51, 190, 210 15, 350, 355 | , 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, , 360, 362, 365, 370, 371, | | 4 - | 4 | 00 | 58 | 2 |
| | IV. | 220, 422, 423, 430, 46 690, 810, 861-865, 87 | 60, 510, 530 0, 871, 875, | , 610, 620, 630, 640, 650, 900. | 660, | | · · · · · · · | • • | | <i>.</i> |
| | V. | 150, 152, 153. | | | | | | | (| -14 |
| TITLE HAS I | E AND NUM BEEN FILE | IBER, IF ANY, OF RELAT ID IN THIS DISTRICT PLE | TED CASES | S. (SEE LOCAL RULE 40 CATE THE TITLE AND NU | .1(G)). IMBEF | IF MORI | E THAN C | ONE PRIO | R RELA E IN THI | TED CASE S COURT. |
| HAS A | A PRIOR A RT? | CTION BETWEEN THE S | AME PART | IES AND BASED ON TH | ESAM | E CLAIM | EVER BE | EN FILE | IN THI | S |
| | | | | | YES | | NO | X | | |
| DOES PUBL | THE COM | IPLAINT IN THIS CASE C ST? (SEE 28 USC §240 | QUESTION 1 03) | THE CONSTITUTIONALIT | TY OF | _ | F CONG | RESS AFI | FECTING | G THE |
| IF SO | , IS THE U. | S.A. OR AN OFFICER, A | GENT OR E | EMPLOYEE OF THE U.S. | YES A PAI | U RTY? | NO | X | | |
| | | | | | YES | | NO | X | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| IS THI 28 US | IS CASE R C §2284? | EQUIRED TO BE HEARD | AND DETE | ERMINED BY A DISTRIC | r cou | RT OF TH | REE JUC | GES PUF | RSUANT | TO TITLE |
| IS THI 28 US | IS CASE R C §2284? | EQUIRED TO BE HEARD | AND DETE | ERMINED BY A DISTRIC | r cou YES | | REE JUI | GES PUF | RSUANT | TO TITLE |
| DO AL | L OF THE | EQUIRED TO BE HEARD PARTIES IN THIS ACTION TH OF MASSACHUSETT ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 4 | ON, EXCLU S ("GOVER | DING GOVERNMENTAL | YES | CIES OF | NO | X TED STAT | EC AND | TUE |
| DO AL | L OF THE | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION | ON, EXCLU S ("GOVER | DING GOVERNMENTAL NMENTAL AGENCIES") | YES | CIES OF | NO | X TED STAT | EC AND | TUE |
| DO AL | L OF THE | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION TH OF MASSACHUSETT ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 4 | ON, EXCLU S ("GOVER 0.1(D)). | DING GOVERNMENTAL NMENTAL AGENCIES") | YES AGEN , RES YES | CIES OF IDING IN | NO THE UNI MASSAC NO | X TED STAT HUSETTS | EC AND | TUE |
| DO AL | L OF THE MONWEAL DIVISION | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION TH OF MASSACHUSETT ? - (SEE LOCAL RULE 4 | ON, EXCLU S ("GOVER 0.1(D)). | DING GOVERNMENTAL NMENTAL AGENCIES") | YES AGEN , RES YES RNME | CIES OF IDING IN X NTAL PA | NO THE UNI MASSAC NO RTIES RE | X TED STAT HUSETTS | ES AND RESIDI | TUE |
| DO AL | L OF THE MONWEAL DIVISION | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION THE OF MASSACHUSETT THE OF MASSACHUSET THE OF MASSACHUSE THE OF MASSACHUSET THE OF THE | ON, EXCLUS ("GOVER 0.1(D)). VISION DO 1 | DING GOVERNMENTAL NMENTAL AGENCIES") ALL OF THE NON-GOVE | YES AGEN , RES YES RNME | CIES OF IDING IN X NTAL PA | NO THE UNIT MASSAC NO RTIES RE WES | X TED STATHUSETTS SSIDE? TERN DIV | ES AND RESIDI | THE EIN THE |
| DO AL | L OF THE MONWEAL DIVISION | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION THE OF MASSACHUSETT THE OF MASSACHUSET THE OF MASSACHUSE THE OF MASSACHUSET THE OF THE | ON, EXCLUS ("GOVER 0.1(D)). VISION DO 1 | DING GOVERNMENTAL RIMENTAL AGENCIES") ALL OF THE NON-GOVE CENTRAL DIVISION HE MAJORITY OF THE P | YES AGEN , RES YES RNME N X | CIES OF IDING IN X NTAL PA | NO THE UNIT MASSAC NO RTIES RE WES THE ONL | X TED STATHUSETTS SSIDE? TERN DIV | ES AND RESIDI | THE EIN THE |
| DO AI COMM SAME | L OF THE MONWEAL DIVISION | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION THE OF MASSACHUSETT THE OF T | ON, EXCLUS ("GOVER 0.1(D)). VISION DO 1 | DING GOVERNMENTAL NMENTAL AGENCIES") ALL OF THE NON-GOVE CENTRAL DIVISION HE MAJORITY OF THE P ESIDING IN MASSACHU | YES AGEN , RES YES RNME N X | CIES OF IDING IN X NTAL PA | NO THE UNIT MASSAC NO RTIES RE WES THE ONL | X TED STATHUSETTS SIDE? TERN DIV | ES AND RESIDI | THE EIN THE |
| DO AL COMM SAME | L OF THE MONWEAL: DIVISION A. B. | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION THE OF MASSACHUSETT THE OF T | ON, EXCLUS ("GOVER 0.1(D)). VISION DO 1 | DING GOVERNMENTAL NMENTAL AGENCIES") ALL OF THE NON-GOVE CENTRAL DIVISION HE MAJORITY OF THE P ESIDING IN MASSACHU | YES AGEN , RES YES RNME N X | CIES OF IDING IN X NTAL PA | NO THE UNIT MASSAC NO RTIES RE WES THE ONL | X TED STATHUSETTS SIDE? TERN DIV | ES AND RESIDI | THE EIN THE |
| DO <u>AI</u> COMM SAME | L OF THE MONWEAL: DIVISION A. B. | PARTIES IN THIS ACTION THE OF MASSACHUSETT THE OF MASSACHUSETT THE OF TH | ON, EXCLUS ("GOVER 0.1(D)). VISION DO 1 | DING GOVERNMENTAL NMENTAL AGENCIES") ALL OF THE NON-GOVE CENTRAL DIVISION HE MAJORITY OF THE P ESIDING IN MASSACHU | YES AGEN , RES YES RNME N X | CIES OF IDING IN X NTAL PA | NO THE UNIT MASSAC NO RTIES RE WES THE ONL | X TED STATHUSETTS SIDE? TERN DIV | ES AND RESIDI | THE EIN THE |